



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/045,564

01/09/2002

Stacey G. Lloyd

BEA920000019US1

1831

49474

7590

08/01/2006

LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL DRYJA

704 228TH AVE NE

#694

SAMMAMISH, WA 98074

EXAMINER

PETRANEK, JACOB ANDREW

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2183

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/045,564	LLOYD, STACEY G.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacob Petranek	2183	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jacob Petranek. (3) _____.

(2) Michael Dryja. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 July 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,8,11,14,16 and 18.


Identification of prior art discussed: Johnson et al. (U.S. 5,796,972) and Handy ("The cache memory book").

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The interview was to discuss possible amendments to overcome the current rejections of Johnson, in view of Handy, over the current independent claims. The examiner suggested that the inclusion of the masking register from figure 1 would likely overcome the current art rejections, but would have to take a look at any specific amendments before finally deciding that the amendment indeed overcame the current prior art rejections.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


EDDIE CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required